IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 9, 2008 at or before 11:59 p.m. Pacific Time under the Rules of 37 CFR § 1.8.

Mara I. Rodriguez

Appl No. Applicant

: 10/583,243

: Harald Baetz, et al.

Filed

June 16, 2006

Title

: MOTOR VEHICLE SEAT

TC/A.U.

: 3612

Examiner

: Melissa Ann Black

Docket No.

: 57881/M521

Customer No.

: 23363

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Post Office Box 7068 Pasadena, CA 91109-7068 October 9, 2008

Confirmation No. 8259

Commissioner:

This is in response to the Office action mailed September 9, 2008.

On page 2 of the Office action, the Examiner states that the application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention, and that the species lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A, Figures 1-3; and

Species B, Figures 4-5.

Applicant elects the invention of Species A, Figures 1-3. Claims 35, 36, 39-45 and 47-73 are readable on Species A.

Appln No. 10/583,243 Amdt date October 9, 2008 Reply to Office action of September 9, 2008

On page 2 of the Office action, the Examiner states that none of the claims is generic. However, Applicants believe that claim 35 is generic because it reads on both Species A and B. Consideration and allowance of this application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By

Saeid Mirsafian, Reg/No. 52,035

Telephone: 626/795-9900

SM/rmw/mr

MIR IRV1115562.1-*-10/9/08 3:26 PM